EXHIBIT QQ.1

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

1

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----x

In Re: Case No:

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, et. al, 12-12020(MG)

Debtors.

----x

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JEFFREY CANCELLIERI

New York, New York

November 14, 2012

2:03 p.m.

Reported by:

ERICA L. RUGGIERI, RPR

JOB NO: 27647-B

	1900.0	.	100
	106	·	108
1	JEFF CANCELLIERI	1	JEFF CANCELLIERI
2	some point during the discussions Kathy	2	A. Yes.
3	Patrick's group was around a \$10 billion	3	Q. Do you have an understanding as
4	number.	4	to how that number was calculated?
5	Q. Now, were you aware that there	5	A. I do not.
6	were various proposals that were made by	6	Q. Are you aware that ResCap
7	ResCap for potential exposure levels in	7	identified you as the person with the most
8	those settlement discussions?	8	knowledge about how that number was
9	A. I was not aware of what those	9	calculated?
10	levels were but during the settlement	10	A. What I provided
11	discussion you would have to have some	11	MR. RAINS: Objection. Assumes
12	discussion on what the levels would be.	12	facts not in evidence.
13	Q. Were you or anyone else in your	13	A. What I provided to our legal
14	group, to the best of your knowledge, ever	14	experts who were negotiating the
15	asked to provide additional data to FTI or	15	transaction was a total expected lifetime
	-		=
16	anyone else at ResCap to justify specific	16	loss on the 392 trusts with a general
17	settlement numbers?	17	range of exposure percentages to give them
18	A. No.	18	tools during their settlement
19	Q. Were you aware that ResCap's	19	negotiations. I was not part of the
20	exposure numbers that were presented to	20	actual settlement negotiations. That was
21	Ms. Patrick increased over time?	21	left up to the legal experts to go through
22	MR. RAINS: Assumes facts not in	22	that process.
23	evidence. Objection.	23	Q. So at any time during the
24	A. Can you repeat the question.	24	settlement negotiations did you provide to
25	Q. Were you aware that the	25	anyone at FTI or ResCap your opinion as to
		_	
	107	,	109
1	JEFF CANCELLIERI	1	JEFF CANCELLIERI
2	JEFF CANCELLIERI potential risk exposure numbers that were	1 2	JEFF CANCELLIERI what would a reasonable estimation of
2 3	JEFF CANCELLIERI potential risk exposure numbers that were presented to Kathy Patrick by ResCap	1 2 3	JEFF CANCELLIERI what would a reasonable estimation of ResCap's exposure for the 392 trusts would
2 3 4	JEFF CANCELLIERI potential risk exposure numbers that were presented to Kathy Patrick by ResCap increased over time?	1 2 3 4	JEFF CANCELLIERI what would a reasonable estimation of ResCap's exposure for the 392 trusts would be?
2 3 4 5	JEFF CANCELLIERI potential risk exposure numbers that were presented to Kathy Patrick by ResCap increased over time? MR. RAINS: Objection. Assumes	1 2 3 4 5	JEFF CANCELLIERI what would a reasonable estimation of ResCap's exposure for the 392 trusts would be? A. I did not. I just provided a
2 3 4 5 6	JEFF CANCELLIERI potential risk exposure numbers that were presented to Kathy Patrick by ResCap increased over time? MR. RAINS: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence.	1 2 3 4 5 6	JEFF CANCELLIERI what would a reasonable estimation of ResCap's exposure for the 392 trusts would be? A. I did not. I just provided a general range based on the expected
2 3 4 5 6 7	JEFF CANCELLIERI potential risk exposure numbers that were presented to Kathy Patrick by ResCap increased over time? MR. RAINS: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. A. I am not aware of what the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	JEFF CANCELLIERI what would a reasonable estimation of ResCap's exposure for the 392 trusts would be? A. I did not. I just provided a general range based on the expected lifetime losses.
2 3 4 5 6	JEFF CANCELLIERI potential risk exposure numbers that were presented to Kathy Patrick by ResCap increased over time? MR. RAINS: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. A. I am not aware of what the settlement negotiations were.	1 2 3 4 5 6	JEFF CANCELLIERI what would a reasonable estimation of ResCap's exposure for the 392 trusts would be? A. I did not. I just provided a general range based on the expected lifetime losses. Q. Did you have any conversations
2 3 4 5 6 7	JEFF CANCELLIERI potential risk exposure numbers that were presented to Kathy Patrick by ResCap increased over time? MR. RAINS: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. A. I am not aware of what the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	JEFF CANCELLIERI what would a reasonable estimation of ResCap's exposure for the 392 trusts would be? A. I did not. I just provided a general range based on the expected lifetime losses. Q. Did you have any conversations with anyone at FTI about a double down
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JEFF CANCELLIERI potential risk exposure numbers that were presented to Kathy Patrick by ResCap increased over time? MR. RAINS: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. A. I am not aware of what the settlement negotiations were.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JEFF CANCELLIERI what would a reasonable estimation of ResCap's exposure for the 392 trusts would be? A. I did not. I just provided a general range based on the expected lifetime losses. Q. Did you have any conversations
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JEFF CANCELLIERI potential risk exposure numbers that were presented to Kathy Patrick by ResCap increased over time? MR. RAINS: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. A. I am not aware of what the settlement negotiations were. Q. And were you ever asked by FTI	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JEFF CANCELLIERI what would a reasonable estimation of ResCap's exposure for the 392 trusts would be? A. I did not. I just provided a general range based on the expected lifetime losses. Q. Did you have any conversations with anyone at FTI about a double down
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	JEFF CANCELLIERI potential risk exposure numbers that were presented to Kathy Patrick by ResCap increased over time? MR. RAINS: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. A. I am not aware of what the settlement negotiations were. Q. And were you ever asked by FTI or anyone at ResCap to provide any	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	JEFF CANCELLIERI what would a reasonable estimation of ResCap's exposure for the 392 trusts would be? A. I did not. I just provided a general range based on the expected lifetime losses. Q. Did you have any conversations with anyone at FTI about a double down concept with respect to monolines?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	JEFF CANCELLIERI potential risk exposure numbers that were presented to Kathy Patrick by ResCap increased over time? MR. RAINS: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. A. I am not aware of what the settlement negotiations were. Q. And were you ever asked by FTI or anyone at ResCap to provide any additional information beyond your initial submission of the 3 to \$14 billion	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	JEFF CANCELLIERI what would a reasonable estimation of ResCap's exposure for the 392 trusts would be? A. I did not. I just provided a general range based on the expected lifetime losses. Q. Did you have any conversations with anyone at FTI about a double down concept with respect to monolines? A. I do not recall having that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	JEFF CANCELLIERI potential risk exposure numbers that were presented to Kathy Patrick by ResCap increased over time? MR. RAINS: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. A. I am not aware of what the settlement negotiations were. Q. And were you ever asked by FTI or anyone at ResCap to provide any additional information beyond your initial	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	JEFF CANCELLIERI what would a reasonable estimation of ResCap's exposure for the 392 trusts would be? A. I did not. I just provided a general range based on the expected lifetime losses. Q. Did you have any conversations with anyone at FTI about a double down concept with respect to monolines? A. I do not recall having that conversation with anyone at FTI. Q. Do you have any idea what I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	JEFF CANCELLIERI potential risk exposure numbers that were presented to Kathy Patrick by ResCap increased over time? MR. RAINS: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. A. I am not aware of what the settlement negotiations were. Q. And were you ever asked by FTI or anyone at ResCap to provide any additional information beyond your initial submission of the 3 to \$14 billion exposure range and 5 to 30 percent defect rate?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	JEFF CANCELLIERI what would a reasonable estimation of ResCap's exposure for the 392 trusts would be? A. I did not. I just provided a general range based on the expected lifetime losses. Q. Did you have any conversations with anyone at FTI about a double down concept with respect to monolines? A. I do not recall having that conversation with anyone at FTI. Q. Do you have any idea what I'm referring to when I say a double down
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	JEFF CANCELLIERI potential risk exposure numbers that were presented to Kathy Patrick by ResCap increased over time? MR. RAINS: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. A. I am not aware of what the settlement negotiations were. Q. And were you ever asked by FTI or anyone at ResCap to provide any additional information beyond your initial submission of the 3 to \$14 billion exposure range and 5 to 30 percent defect rate? A. Not to my knowledge, no.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	JEFF CANCELLIERI what would a reasonable estimation of ResCap's exposure for the 392 trusts would be? A. I did not. I just provided a general range based on the expected lifetime losses. Q. Did you have any conversations with anyone at FTI about a double down concept with respect to monolines? A. I do not recall having that conversation with anyone at FTI. Q. Do you have any idea what I'm referring to when I say a double down concept?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	JEFF CANCELLIERI potential risk exposure numbers that were presented to Kathy Patrick by ResCap increased over time? MR. RAINS: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. A. I am not aware of what the settlement negotiations were. Q. And were you ever asked by FTI or anyone at ResCap to provide any additional information beyond your initial submission of the 3 to \$14 billion exposure range and 5 to 30 percent defect rate? A. Not to my knowledge, no. Q. Do you have an understanding as	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	JEFF CANCELLIERI what would a reasonable estimation of ResCap's exposure for the 392 trusts would be? A. I did not. I just provided a general range based on the expected lifetime losses. Q. Did you have any conversations with anyone at FTI about a double down concept with respect to monolines? A. I do not recall having that conversation with anyone at FTI. Q. Do you have any idea what I'm referring to when I say a double down concept? A. I do not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	JEFF CANCELLIERI potential risk exposure numbers that were presented to Kathy Patrick by ResCap increased over time? MR. RAINS: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. A. I am not aware of what the settlement negotiations were. Q. And were you ever asked by FTI or anyone at ResCap to provide any additional information beyond your initial submission of the 3 to \$14 billion exposure range and 5 to 30 percent defect rate? A. Not to my knowledge, no. Q. Do you have an understanding as to how the 8 point do you have an	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	JEFF CANCELLIERI what would a reasonable estimation of ResCap's exposure for the 392 trusts would be? A. I did not. I just provided a general range based on the expected lifetime losses. Q. Did you have any conversations with anyone at FTI about a double down concept with respect to monolines? A. I do not recall having that conversation with anyone at FTI. Q. Do you have any idea what I'm referring to when I say a double down concept? A. I do not. Q. Sitting here today, other than
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	JEFF CANCELLIERI potential risk exposure numbers that were presented to Kathy Patrick by ResCap increased over time? MR. RAINS: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. A. I am not aware of what the settlement negotiations were. Q. And were you ever asked by FTI or anyone at ResCap to provide any additional information beyond your initial submission of the 3 to \$14 billion exposure range and 5 to 30 percent defect rate? A. Not to my knowledge, no. Q. Do you have an understanding as to how the 8 point do you have an understanding today that the ultimate	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JEFF CANCELLIERI what would a reasonable estimation of ResCap's exposure for the 392 trusts would be? A. I did not. I just provided a general range based on the expected lifetime losses. Q. Did you have any conversations with anyone at FTI about a double down concept with respect to monolines? A. I do not recall having that conversation with anyone at FTI. Q. Do you have any idea what I'm referring to when I say a double down concept? A. I do not. Q. Sitting here today, other than the general range of 3 to \$14 billion
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JEFF CANCELLIERI potential risk exposure numbers that were presented to Kathy Patrick by ResCap increased over time? MR. RAINS: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. A. I am not aware of what the settlement negotiations were. Q. And were you ever asked by FTI or anyone at ResCap to provide any additional information beyond your initial submission of the 3 to \$14 billion exposure range and 5 to 30 percent defect rate? A. Not to my knowledge, no. Q. Do you have an understanding as to how the 8 point do you have an understanding today that the ultimate settlement number for allowed claim in the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JEFF CANCELLIERI what would a reasonable estimation of ResCap's exposure for the 392 trusts would be? A. I did not. I just provided a general range based on the expected lifetime losses. Q. Did you have any conversations with anyone at FTI about a double down concept with respect to monolines? A. I do not recall having that conversation with anyone at FTI. Q. Do you have any idea what I'm referring to when I say a double down concept? A. I do not. Q. Sitting here today, other than the general range of 3 to \$14 billion that, and 5 to 30 percent defect rate that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	JEFF CANCELLIERI potential risk exposure numbers that were presented to Kathy Patrick by ResCap increased over time? MR. RAINS: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. A. I am not aware of what the settlement negotiations were. Q. And were you ever asked by FTI or anyone at ResCap to provide any additional information beyond your initial submission of the 3 to \$14 billion exposure range and 5 to 30 percent defect rate? A. Not to my knowledge, no. Q. Do you have an understanding as to how the 8 point do you have an understanding today that the ultimate settlement number for allowed claim in the settlement number was \$8.7 billion?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	JEFF CANCELLIERI what would a reasonable estimation of ResCap's exposure for the 392 trusts would be? A. I did not. I just provided a general range based on the expected lifetime losses. Q. Did you have any conversations with anyone at FTI about a double down concept with respect to monolines? A. I do not recall having that conversation with anyone at FTI. Q. Do you have any idea what I'm referring to when I say a double down concept? A. I do not. Q. Sitting here today, other than the general range of 3 to \$14 billion that, and 5 to 30 percent defect rate that you provided, are you aware of any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	JEFF CANCELLIERI potential risk exposure numbers that were presented to Kathy Patrick by ResCap increased over time? MR. RAINS: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. A. I am not aware of what the settlement negotiations were. Q. And were you ever asked by FTI or anyone at ResCap to provide any additional information beyond your initial submission of the 3 to \$14 billion exposure range and 5 to 30 percent defect rate? A. Not to my knowledge, no. Q. Do you have an understanding as to how the 8 point do you have an understanding today that the ultimate settlement number for allowed claim in the settlement number was \$8.7 billion? A. I'm sorry, repeat the question.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	what would a reasonable estimation of ResCap's exposure for the 392 trusts would be? A. I did not. I just provided a general range based on the expected lifetime losses. Q. Did you have any conversations with anyone at FTI about a double down concept with respect to monolines? A. I do not recall having that conversation with anyone at FTI. Q. Do you have any idea what I'm referring to when I say a double down concept? A. I do not. Q. Sitting here today, other than the general range of 3 to \$14 billion that, and 5 to 30 percent defect rate that you provided, are you aware of any specific analysis that was done to justify
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	JEFF CANCELLIERI potential risk exposure numbers that were presented to Kathy Patrick by ResCap increased over time? MR. RAINS: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. A. I am not aware of what the settlement negotiations were. Q. And were you ever asked by FTI or anyone at ResCap to provide any additional information beyond your initial submission of the 3 to \$14 billion exposure range and 5 to 30 percent defect rate? A. Not to my knowledge, no. Q. Do you have an understanding as to how the 8 point do you have an understanding today that the ultimate settlement number for allowed claim in the settlement number was \$8.7 billion? A. I'm sorry, repeat the question. Q. Do you have an understanding	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	JEFF CANCELLIERI what would a reasonable estimation of ResCap's exposure for the 392 trusts would be? A. I did not. I just provided a general range based on the expected lifetime losses. Q. Did you have any conversations with anyone at FTI about a double down concept with respect to monolines? A. I do not recall having that conversation with anyone at FTI. Q. Do you have any idea what I'm referring to when I say a double down concept? A. I do not. Q. Sitting here today, other than the general range of 3 to \$14 billion that, and 5 to 30 percent defect rate that you provided, are you aware of any specific analysis that was done to justify the \$8.7 billion number?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JEFF CANCELLIERI potential risk exposure numbers that were presented to Kathy Patrick by ResCap increased over time? MR. RAINS: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. A. I am not aware of what the settlement negotiations were. Q. And were you ever asked by FTI or anyone at ResCap to provide any additional information beyond your initial submission of the 3 to \$14 billion exposure range and 5 to 30 percent defect rate? A. Not to my knowledge, no. Q. Do you have an understanding as to how the 8 point do you have an understanding today that the ultimate settlement number for allowed claim in the settlement number was \$8.7 billion? A. I'm sorry, repeat the question. Q. Do you have an understanding that the ultimate number that was set	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	what would a reasonable estimation of ResCap's exposure for the 392 trusts would be? A. I did not. I just provided a general range based on the expected lifetime losses. Q. Did you have any conversations with anyone at FTI about a double down concept with respect to monolines? A. I do not recall having that conversation with anyone at FTI. Q. Do you have any idea what I'm referring to when I say a double down concept? A. I do not. Q. Sitting here today, other than the general range of 3 to \$14 billion that, and 5 to 30 percent defect rate that you provided, are you aware of any specific analysis that was done to justify the \$8.7 billion number? MR. RAINS: Object to the form
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	JEFF CANCELLIERI potential risk exposure numbers that were presented to Kathy Patrick by ResCap increased over time? MR. RAINS: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. A. I am not aware of what the settlement negotiations were. Q. And were you ever asked by FTI or anyone at ResCap to provide any additional information beyond your initial submission of the 3 to \$14 billion exposure range and 5 to 30 percent defect rate? A. Not to my knowledge, no. Q. Do you have an understanding as to how the 8 point do you have an understanding today that the ultimate settlement number for allowed claim in the settlement number was \$8.7 billion? A. I'm sorry, repeat the question. Q. Do you have an understanding	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	JEFF CANCELLIERI what would a reasonable estimation of ResCap's exposure for the 392 trusts would be? A. I did not. I just provided a general range based on the expected lifetime losses. Q. Did you have any conversations with anyone at FTI about a double down concept with respect to monolines? A. I do not recall having that conversation with anyone at FTI. Q. Do you have any idea what I'm referring to when I say a double down concept? A. I do not. Q. Sitting here today, other than the general range of 3 to \$14 billion that, and 5 to 30 percent defect rate that you provided, are you aware of any specific analysis that was done to justify the \$8.7 billion number?